```
0001
1
    IN RE: DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
             COOPERATIVE CONSERVATION LISTENING SESSION
 3
     Transcript of the Cooperative Conservation Listening Session
 4
                                       st
 5
     commencing at 1:04 p.m., on the 21 day of September 2006, at
 6
     Southeast Georgia Conference Center, Coastal Georgia Community
 7
     College, 3700 Altama Avenue, Brunswick, Glynn County, Georgia.
 8
 9
10
    APPEARANCES:
11
    MODERATOR:
                                MR. JON MARSHALL
12
                                DJ Case & Associates
13
    PANELISTS:
                                MR. DALE HALL
                                Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife
14
                                  Service
15
                                ADMIRAL CONRAD LAUTENBACHER
                                Administrator NOAA
16
                                ADVANCED VERBATIM REPORTING
    COURT REPORTER:
17
                                W. Stephen Walker, CCR B-572
                                706 Union Street
18
                                Brunswick, GA 31520
                                912-264-1552
19
20
21
22
                    Advanced Verbatim Reporting, Inc.
23
                               706 Union Street
                            Brunswick,
24
                                     Georgia 31520
                                 (912)
25
                                    264-1552
                            Toll
26
                                Free at 1
                                     -877-848-7957
0002
              MR. MARSHALL: Welcome to the 20th of 24 listings
1
 2
     sessions on cooperative conservation. My name is Jon
 3
    Marshall. I am the Moderator for today's session. I am
 4
     joined on the stage by Dale Hall, Director of the U.S. Fish
 5
     and Wildlife Service; and Admiral Conrad Lautenbacher, NOAA
    Administrator. He is the Administrator for the National
 6
 7
     Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and Under Secretary
     of Commerce for Oceans and Atmosphere. To the right I \mbox{am}
 8
     joined by Court Reporter Steve Walker; and Sign Language
9
10
    Interpreters Allison Steele and Karen Holmes.
              To start off today, I am honored to introduce
11
12
     Oglethorpe Point Elementary Chorus, led by Mrs. Donna
13
    Proctor. Please stand and join as they lead us in our
14
    National Anthem.
15
    (National Anthem)
```

("Recycle Rap" -- sung by Oglethorpe Elementary Chorus)

MR. MARSHALL: Oglethorpe Point Elementary School
on St. Simons Island is proud to take part in conserving
our environment. The campus at Oglethorpe Point boasts a
wonderful natural habitat for student exploration, as well
as several outdoor classrooms. Students and staff work
together to encourage conservation through the school's
recycling program. And they obviously do a good job at
performance, as well.

Hey, kids, out of the corner of my eye I think I saw Admiral Lautenbacher and Director Hall bust move. Thank you very much.

I'm going to start off today by just giving you a very brief preview of the agenda and the process that we are going to follow. I'm going to make some quick introductions. We will have some opening comments and very brief presentations by people here on the stage, and a few others who have joined us; and we will move right into the reason that we are gathered here today, which is to listen, to listen to what you have to say about cooperative conservation.

The process we are going to follow here is pretty simple. It's designed to hear from as many people as possible, although we have a relatively small crowd compared to what we have had in some of the meetings. So we won't be in a big rush to get through. We want to make sure that everyone is adequately heard and has an opportunity to address these gentlemen and the cooperative conservative community at large.

As you came in this afternoon you should have received a card that has a number on it. And what we will do is use that card, the order of those numbers, to go through to make comments.

When we get to the public comment session I will call you in ordered number, ask you to come to the microphone, which will be positioned up here in the aisle. I will ask you to give us your name, spell it, especially if it is an unusual pronunciation, tell us where you are from and the organization that you are with, if you are representing one.

Our Court Reporter, Steve Walker, will be recording all of the comments that are made here today, and those will be included in a report that goes to the White House and to the conservation community.

If you don't feel comfortable speaking today or if you have additional information you would like to provide in addition to the time period that we allot for you, there are other opportunities to submit through the web site, through fax or e-mail, and those contact methods are listed on the card. Keep in mind that all methods of input are weighted equally.

My responsibility as Moderator is twofold. First is to keep everything moving along. So I apologize in advance if I have to cut you off. We do have a certain amount of time for each speaker. And second is to keep us

23 all on topic and keep things civil. So we are asking you 24 to limit your comments to the topics that are on the card, 25 cooperative conservation. And I reserve the right to cut 0005 1 you off if comments go too long or if any comments become 2 abusive, but I don't expect anything of that nature. 3 Given the format of these events, we are not able 4 to answer questions from the stage and get into a 5 discussion here. This is primarily a listening session. 6 So we won't be able to answer questions from the stage. 7 However, these gentlemen will probably be around after the 8 meeting for some time, and there are some other folks with 9 their own agencies that are here, as well. 10 Let me make a few quick introductions of folks 11 that have joined us in the audience today. First of all, I 12 would like to ask, if you would, just stand up as I read 13 your name. Ed Martin. 14 MR. MARTIN: Right here. 15 MR. MARSHALL: Okay. Ed is with the U.S. 16 Geological Survey. He is the Georgia District Chief. 17 Martin Davenport. Martin is here? No? Okay. 18 Sam Hamilton. Okay. Mr. Hamilton is Regional 19 Director of U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2.0 Noreen Walsh. Noreen is in the back there, 21 Assistant Regional Director for Ecological Services for the 22 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 23 David Bryant. Mr. Bryant is Deputy Director of 24 the Georgia Sea Grant Program. 0006 Stephen Adams. Okay. Right down front here. 1 2 Coastal Region Supervisor of Law Enforcement for Georgia 3 Department of Natural Resources. 4 Brad Winn. Right next to him. Coastal Program 5 Non-Game and Wildlife Manager for Georgia DNR. 6 Brad Gane. Okay. Brad is Assistant Director of 7 Coastal Resources Division for Georgia DNR. 8 Patty McIntosh and Judy Wright, okay, are with 9 the Gray's Reef Sanctuary Advisory Council. And Susan Shipman. Susan is Director of the 10 11 Coastal Resources Division of Georgia Department of Natural 12 Resources. 13 And Michelle Bart. Is Michelle here? Okay. 14 Michelle, I believe, will be coming in later. She is the 15 Regional Director representing Florida Senator Bill 16 Nelson's Office. 17 Okay. Let me now move to introduce Mr. Dale 18 Hall. 19 MR. HALL: Well, good afternoon, and thank you 20 for coming today. You know, maybe I was getting a little 21 too much in the rap, but as I was listening to those kids 22 it really is a reminder for all of us that we are here 23 because we care about natural resources and about 2.4 conservation, but we also care about them and the future 25 generations.

0007

One of the things that comes to my mind when I think about the children is that 70 percent of all fish and wildlife habitat in the United States is in private hands. And if we are going to really leave a legacy, then we really need to be thinking about how do we get at that 70 percent to go along with the 30 percent that are in public holdings.

2.5

2.0

These sessions were set up as a follow-up to the White House Conference on Cooperative Conservation that was held last year in St. Louis. And the questions on the back of those cards were really sort of the summary of the things that came out of that conference that are pretty important. So we are trying to follow-up and get more localized input on how we can work better with you.

There are lots of things going on by a lot of good people. We're not always talking to each other the way we should and coordinating and getting the most results for the actions that are taking place. And I think we need to be trying to figure out how to do that.

And then sometimes there are things that are disincentives for people who don't want to be involved with the federal government and working toward cooperative conservation. We want to know what those are and how we can work with you or any suggestions that you have on how we could remedy those. Are there things that we could do

that would be more inviting for you to work with us? The future generations that we are responsible for looking out for deserve nothing less than that, and I think all of us care enough that we can make that happen.

Like I said, there's a lot of good people trying to do a lot of good things. And today Conrad and I are here to listen and we really do want to hear from a local level how things can work better. The Department of the Interior, for an example, actually owns and managers one out of every five acres in the United States. We work with 561 Native American tribes. A third of the energy in the United States is produced off of Department of the Interior lands. There are a lot of things that are held in trust for you by us in Interior, and we really would like to know are there ways we can work better with you to make sure that all the different interests that are out there are looked at and taken care of.

So we really appreciate you coming this afternoon. I look forward -- and I know Conrad will be saying the same thing, that we look forward to hearing what you have to say because, frankly, the time of combatting over what the solution is has to be put behind us. There can no longer be this attitude of a winner and a loser. There is no conflict, in my mind, between good economic development and good environmental development. We need to

sit down at the table and figure out how we can make them both happen because they are not mutually exclusive, and I think that that's why we are here and that's what we are about. So thank you all very much for coming this afternoon. We really do appreciate it.

ADMIRAL LAUTENBACHER: Thank you very much, Dale. I have to echo what Dale has said, and I hope I'm not going to repeat it, but I am a strong believer in everything he has just said.

2.0

1 2

With that, first of all, I want to thank the community and all of the good folks that have allowed this event to happen here in such a beautiful place in our country. As you heard, I am a retired Vice-Admiral from the United States Navy. So I am familiar with some of the Navy installations that are close by here, and I now have had and for the last five years have had the opportunity to be involved with the NOAA facilities and activities that occur in this part from Northern Florida up through Georgia and into South Carolina.

And it is a beautiful day out there, and I think we are all interested in ensuring that every day will be a beautiful day, that we are going to preserve our resources and sustain them in a way that our children and grandchildren will be proud of what we have done in terms

of stewardship. And that starts with these kinds of ideas, the cooperative conservation idea, where we work together.

I think neither Dale nor I believe you can control very much from Washington. You know, the days that we are from Washington and we're here to help doesn't go a long way. In fact, it's the people who live in the area that make the difference.

And so we are here to listen to what people are saying, what people are doing, what people want, how can the federal government be a partner. Partnership is a big part of NOAA's programs. We obviously in neither of our agencies have the amount of money that allows us to do everything in the world that is needed for our environment and for our resource management, but we do it by partnership and there are a lot of partners in the audience today and I thank you.

I'll just mention our Gray's Reef Sanctuary. That's a partnership. We appreciate the work that goes on with the State and with the help we get from Advisory Council members who give up their time to bring public involvement into management of those resources.

We have the Sapelo Island National Estuary Research Reserve, which is an important way to preserve unique ecosystems in our coastal areas and learn from what's there to help us plan and ensure that the rest of

the coastline will be preserved in a way that we can be proud of.

And we have Georgia Sea Grant here today. Sea Grant is another one of our programs that works at bringing knowledge -- developing knowledge and bringing it down to every citizen and every organization in our industries that live and work along the coast.

So we are here to listen today. I'm sorry we don't have time for dialogues. I would love to have a dialogue, but we want to make sure that everyone has a chance to be heard. These ideas will go back. It's not

just the two agencies you're talking to; you're talking to the federal interagency group. We are representatives of all the agencies that can help with cooperative conservation and can help citizens around the country provide the kind of environment for the future that they will be proud of.

So, with that, let me thank you again for coming and I look forward to hearing from you and taking you ideas back for us to work on. Thank you.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you, gentlemen.

At the invitation of Director Hall and Admiral Lautenbacher, we would like to start off with a few folks who are going to talk a little bit about cooperative

conservation in the area. Brian Thompson is the Mayor of Brunswick.

 $\,$  If you would, come forward to the microphone right here.

MAYOR THOMPSON: Thank you all very much. It was very nice meeting you all a little earlier today. We greatly appreciate you being here and it's great to see many friends and folks, some of whom I haven't seen for a while, today. We are very pleased you all are here. We are very pleased that this is taking place here in our community, particularly at this time.

As you know, as you probably are aware, our area here, Glynn County, McIntosh County, and along the coast, is really one of the last areas along the East Coast that is beginning to feel the impacts of growth and development. And we are trying to do everything we can possibly do to make sure that we achieve a balance with this growth that is coming here and that protecting and preserving our environmental assets are right at the top of that.

I am very pleased, along with several other people here today, to serve on a committee that the Governor has put together several months ago, the Coastal Conservation Master Plan Committee. And we are working diligently regularly over the next year or so to actually

put together a master plan for balanced growth here on the coast for all counties that actually touch the water.

What you are doing here today, soliciting comments, and much more knowledgeable and in-depth comments than I would be able to provide, is really invaluable. So much of how we all relate to each other and how we get things done here is on a personalized basis.

We can really, I think, move things along, whether it's with the environmental or whether it's in the business community or the governmental community, by our associations, relationships, and friendships. If I were to relay anything to you all in terms of being able to more cooperatively and effectively work together, more contact, more presence, more one-on-ones, more dialogue with folks is so important.

And I hope I'm not speaking for myself. I can make decisions quicker if I know I'm comfortable and I'm comfortable with the people I'm dealing with and if I know

19 of them. And I think that's important, and I think that's going to benefit all of us if these relationships that have 2.0 21 been established here and that will continue to be 22 strengthened will continue to grow and develop. 23

I think we can do an awful lot together, and that's what it's all about is all of us working together on this. Thank you.

25 0014 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0015 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you, Mr. Thompson. Now I would like to introduce Christi Lambert.

She is with the Nature Conservancy of Southeast Georgia.

MS. LAMBERT: Good afternoon. I live in McIntosh County and I am based out of our Southeast Georgia Conservation Office in Darien, and I'd like to welcome you to Coastal Georgia and to thank you for visiting and, also, for conducting your Listening Session here, right here in the heart of such an important land and waterscape, a place where politically important rivers, the Altamaha and the Satilla, flow and they empty into the Atlantic and form two of the most diverse and high-quality inductive estuarine systems in the world. This is one of the reasons the Nature Conservancy has located our office here, so that we can work in collaboration with partners in these priority conservation areas throughout Georgia.

For more than 50 years the Nature Conservancy has worked to preserve plants, animals, and natural communities that represent the diversity of life on Earth by protecting the lands and the waters that they need to survive. Cooperative partnerships are a cornerstone of the Nature Conservancy's work around the world.

Successful partnerships include multiple stakeholders who work together to achieve common conservation success at a large landscape scale. Finding

that common ground with landowners, with farmers, with fishers, government agencies, and corporations is essential to finding creative and practical solutions that balance, as mentioned earlier, the human needs with conservation goals.

Well-funded federal acquisition programs are vitally important tools for federal governments to use to fully exercise their role in conservation and their role as a conservation partner. Land acquisition programs, such as the Land and Water Conservation Fund, Forest Legacy, and the Coastal Estuarine Land Conservation Program, have provided the investments necessary to protect such national treasures as Moody Forest Natural Area; Clay Hall (phonetic) and Penholloway Swamps right here in the Altamaha which I think if you have the opportunity to visit those; Broxton Rocks and Holly Creek up in Northwest Georgia in the Conasauga watershed; these are important examples.

And here locally we have a collective right here with our local communities with Georgia Department of Natural Resources, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, local governments, and also private landowners, and we work collaboratively, with your support, to bring together more

24 than 9000 acres that have either been purchased, placed 25 under conservation easement or conservation management in 0016

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3 24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Altamaha River corridor. These areas provide wildlife habitat, water quality and quantity protection, fishing, boating, hiking, and hunting areas.

We think those are very effective at using federally-allocated dollars, taking them and them leverage the funding to bring in more state, local, and private dollars, because we strive -- as partners working together, we can be more effective at striving to have a larger bang for the dollars that are invested. And we would be remiss if we didn't take this opportunity to thank you for supporting these collaborative projects and, also, to let you know that we really hope that funding for these critical federal programs will be increased in the future.

Collaborative programs, such as the Atlantic Coast Joint Venture, Partners in Flight, and the Southeastern Applied Resources Partnership, provide regional leadership, information, and support for the implementation of on-the-ground actions which helps us to operate more effectively and to leverage our support. Collaborative surveys of rivers, floodplains, and aquatic species are being conducted, and management for flow restoration, species abatement, have taken place through these important partnership programs.

We applaud the Administration's cooperative conservation grant programs that provide agencies the 0017

opportunities to work in collaboration with private landowners. We also recognize the importance of providing them with assistance. An example of these programs include the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Cooperative Endangered Species Fund, Landowner Incentive Program, and private stewardship grants. In Georgia these programs have provided funding to landowners to support forest and wildlife management. By increasing their capacity and providing them with current technologies and methods, the landowners can then care for and conserve their lands, which is a common goal that we all share.

The Nature Conservancy is extremely gratified to have a longstanding collaborative relationship with the Defense Department in the management of its military

installation lands. In Georgia we particularly note our collaboration at Fort Stewart, Fort Benning, and Fort Gordon, with planning and monitoring work vital to ecosystem management. And then more recently our work at Stewart and Benning have expanded to conserve lands and waters around these installations as compatible-use buffers that will usually remain privately owned, protected through conservation easements.

Just this week we closed on a large acquisition containing areas that will help to buffer the Townsend Bombing Range and Fort Stewart. Through collaborative 0018

conservation these military buffering programs

simultaneously protect the military's mission, their forest management requirements, and the biological diversity that is found uniquely on these military installations.

The Conservancy supports sustained and increased funding of these important buffer land programs such as that provided by the Bob Stump National Defense Act of 2003. With continued support for such creative programs, we can continue to protect our national heritage, our working forests, and our national security, and military readiness all at the same time. The Nature Conservancy appreciates the Army's longstanding and continued commitment to conservation.

Grand Bay/Banks Lake is a voluntary cooperative council comprised of the Department of Natural Resources, Moody Air Force Base, The Nature Conservancy, and the Fish and Wildlife Service. Those councils have been established to coordinate management of Grand Bay/Banks Lake to protect the natural environment there and to ensure the long-term viability within the context of compatible human use.

We also would like to bring to your attention the Farm Bill. The Nature Conservancy, we work with farmers who utilize the Farm Bill incentives to conserve their properties. The Administration should continue to expand the incentives for conservation on private lands. And when

the reauthorization of the Farm Bill occurs in 2007, we encourage the increase of conservation programs such as WRP and EQUIP.

I wanted to mention, also, an important partnership in the collaborative role that the Army Corps of Engineers plays in cooperative conservation. We are working with the Corps of Engineers on a project on the Savannah River to seek to reoperate dams for the benefit of the environment while preserving human uses.

And, also, a project that we are working on, the U.S. Fire Learning Network. This is an outstanding example of how agencies in collaboration pulling people together to have an impact on improving the health of the landscape.

And these are some of the examples of collaboration that really illustrate, we think, the power of partnerships. And by joining forces with partners we can accomplish far more than we could on our own and work together to achieve meaningful and longlasting results.

The Nature Conservancy would like to thank you for your support and for your funding of programs that bring us together and enable us to be good stewards of our lands and waters, and we urge you to continue to support these important collaborative programs. Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments to enhance cooperation and conservation.

2.2

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you, Ms. Lambert.

And I would like to introduce Wesley Langdale.
Mr. Langdale is with Langdale Corporation and also with The

Greater Okefenokee Association of Landowners.

MR. LANGDALE: Thank you, and it's a pleasure to be with you today and an honor to testify at this Listening

Session. I would like to especially thank Director Dale Hall, Sam Hamilton from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and NOAA Administrator Lautenbacher.

In reviewing the issues that were set for the agenda today, it is very clear that U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is open to receiving commentary on how it can help, an effort for which the agency should be applauded.

In assessing how the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service can help in Georgia, it is of critical importance that we take into account the dynamics that make up our state; in particular, the fact that 24 million of Georgia's 37 million acres of land are covered in forest, and that 18 million of those acres are owned by approximately 600,000 landowners who manage working forests.

When considering the goals of enhancing wildlife habitat and species protection, the acres and potential habitat that private landowners control and manage represents the single most important opportunity that the state and the federal government has to achieving their

ecological goals in Georgia. Simply stated, through collaboration with the landowner community, the potential to enhance the ecological values of Georgia is tremendous.

How can we do this? We are very fortunate that a lot of work has already been done. Over the past several years Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Non-Game Wildlife Resource Division, has been working diligently on a statewide conservation wildlife action plan. This year the plan was approved on a federal level.

Thus, DNR's Non-Game Division has worked extremely hard with a group of stakeholders to put this plan together and deserves accolades for the work that they have done. Along with countless other plans that the state of Georgia is working on, ranging on issues from energy to water to taxes, we are taking a hard look at how we can make Georgia a better state environmentally.

But the analysis of our current status and options are not enough. We must work towards actions. And in Georgia the federal government can help devote state and federal incentive-based programs that help landowners, nongovernmental groups, and state and federal agencies achieve overarching ecological goals. Simply stated, we can all work together to put the eco into the economics of owning and managing forests, wildlife habitat, and land in Georgia.

2.1

We are at a crossroads of a new era of collaboration between the landowner and the federal government that parallels important times in our past. In the 1920s, '30s, and '40s, the role of the government was paramount in the lives of landowners throughout the Southeast. The work that federal agencies like the U.S. Forest Service did to develop naval stores and turpentine technologies and methods of forest management was the lifeline that allowed private ownership of forests in Georgia to flourish.

It is interesting to note, however, that the

naval stores and the turpentine industry is now extinct. The point here is that the government played a vital role at a critical time in the life of Georgia's forests in the early 1900s and helped launch an industry that has developed into a mature, highly diversified industry supporting forests, wildlife habitat, and jobs throughout the Southern United States.

And today there is once again a tremendous opportunity for the federal government to help a new generation of landowners embark on a path of new market development and the establishment of values that our forests offer societies in Georgia, America, and throughout the world.

1 2

We can review another example of how we can put the eco into economics by considering what happened around the Southeast when the Red-cockaded Woodpecker, or the RCW, was listed as an endangered species. The resulting perceptions that were created in some communities have taught us some very important lessons. After the listing, a combination of rumors and gossip in small-town coffee shops across the region made people fear finding RCWs on their land, and not to mention reporting them to the government.

How much better would things have been if, instead of people fearing finding RCWs on their land, they would actually want to have RCWs on their land? What we're talking about is focusing on offering the carrot instead of the stick, allowing people to do the right things, using their own resources, to manage and enhance the habitat species and recognizing that contribution to society through monetary or other meaningful incentives.

The importance of working together is becoming increasingly critical. Over the past 40 to 50 years our nation has focused on developing environmental laws, rules, and enforcement, and it is safe to assume that our nation will continue to focus on enforcement and rule-making into the future. But there are also many ways that we can succeed in the realm of environmental protection and

enhancement that does not have anything to do with enforcement. And I am referring to the encouragement of private-sector environmental stewardship tailored to achieve public-sector goals. In other words, encouraging good behavior.

How can we generate ground-level action by people managing our natural resources that matches the sound science policies that are set in what seems like a million miles away in Washington, D.C.? In considering this I am reminded of the motto of the Georgia Forestry Commission which is as follows: Get the right people with the right behavior doing the right things, and the right things will happen. It's all about people, right people, right behavior, right things happening.

MR. MARSHALL: Mr. Langdale?

MR. LANGDALE: Yes?

MR. MARSHALL: Can I get you to wrap up fairly

quickly?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. LANGDALE: Okay. I'll skip on down.

At the end of the day the threats to nature are not coming from one group or community; it is all part of the human impact upon the earth. And in Georgia it can be summed up in one word, urbanization. The simple fact, that urbanization poses the largest and most comprehensive challenges to the future of the state's natural resources 0025

and natural-resource-based economies. Remember that there are 9 million people here today; we are projected to add another 8 million in the next 15 years.

Ultimately, as Americans and Georgians, we should be asking ourselves how can we have a green state, provide jobs for our children, a healthy tax base, and leave our natural and managed ecosystems in better shape than they are today.

And, in closing, I would just like to leave with some words from a good friend, Rex Bonner with the Conservation Fund. He told me one time -- he said despite people's differences, backgrounds, beliefs, and points of view, we should all remember one important thing, quote, "when the last crop is asphalt, we all lose," end of quote. Thank you very much or your time.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you, Mr. Langdale.

All right. Let's go ahead and begin the informal part of the Listening Session here. Let me briefly explain to you the process again. I'm going to call in order of number for the cards that you received when you came in. I'm going to ask you to come forward to the microphone here. If you could, queue up. If you're no. 3 and no. 1 has come forward, please queue up just down the aisle here so that we can move folks forward fairly quickly. 0026

Again, come to the microphone; if you would, tell us your name, spell it, and let us know where you are from and what organization you represent, if you are representing an organization. Our Court Reporter, Steve Walker, will be collecting all of the comments here and capturing those into a report. If you are not comfortable speaking today or if you want to make comments additionally, or if you have written comments, written comments can be placed in the box in the back, and then you can also submit comments through the contact information on the card that you were provided.

How many folks are intending on making comments today?

(Show of hands)

Okay. Good, good. Given that we have a relatively small group of people here, would it be okay if we started out by allowing folks 2 minutes, 30 seconds? And what I will do is I will provide a warning at 2 minutes, 30 seconds. I will just hold this up so that you can see it. And if you would wrap up then within the next 30 seconds and we will get the next person up. So, again, as Moderator my responsibility is to keep things moving along, keep us all on topic, and make sure that we get out 24 of here by 4:30 or so today. So I don't think that's going 25 to be a problem. 0027

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

0029 1

2

So, with that, may I have no. 1? And perhaps if 2 through 5 can gueue up back in the back of the room there.

MR. DARRACQ: My name is Eric Darracq. I work with the Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Wildlife Resources Division. I work out of Social Circle in the Private Land Program statewide.

Through partnership efforts in Georgia and several other states, we would like to present eight recommendations that we believe would greatly improve conservation from our mountains to our oceans.

First, fish and wildlife should be coequal priority with soil and water in authorization and implementation of all conservation programs of the Farm Bill.

Second, all Farm Bill titles should work together, not at cross-purposes, to maintain and promote conservation of fish and wildlife habitats, soil and water, and not inadvertently promote conversion or loss of habitats. The National Fish Habitat action plans, states' wildlife action plans, North American Waterfowl Management Plan, Northern Bobwhite Conservation Initiative, and others, can help accomplish this.

Third, technical assistance funding must be increased to help implement all conservation programs to 0028

accomplish required and voluntary habitat management and implement financial assistance benefiting landowners and conservation.

Fourth, establish a habitat technical team of expert natural resource professionals in each state that will provide technical guidance to USDA agencies, statelevel decision-making authorities on conservation programs regarding fish and wildlife habitat.

Five, state enactment of the 2002 Farm Bill. Demand for conservation programs and their environmental benefits continue to increase. So rather than reducing appropriations, as has occurred in past years for these programs, support, maintain, or increase the authorized funding for all conservation programs.

Six, support only those energy initiatives that carefully promote ecologically healthy fish and wildlife populations' habitats and ecosystems and the natural resources that we use with them.

Seven, to more effectively address environmental problems and demonstrate societal and conservation benefits derived from Farm Bill programs, funds must be made available for the implementation of standard monitoring procedures to ensure that fish and wildlife, soil and water goals are met on all involved acres.

And the last recommendation that we have or today, a forestry title is needed in the 2007 Farm Bill that carefully encourages large-scale ecosystem restoration that includes recognition of ecoregions and that focus on improving management of forest ecosystems and identifies critical forest resource areas using a comprehensive region and safe-forest resource assessment.

The Georgia DNR Wildlife Resources Division sincerely appreciates this opportunity to provide suggestions.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

No. 2?

1 2

MR. KONTER: Good afternoon. My name is Jerry Konter, and I am a homebuilder from Savannah, Georgia. I appreciate the opportunity to provide comments to you today. Good environmental laws that provide opportunities for market-based solutions are an important starting point for cooperative conservation. Unfortunately, today's laws are outdated and do not protect the environment in the most efficient and effective way, thus, are rarely amenable to collaborative solutions.

There are three programs that can deliver positive results through improved cooperation and a look towards the market; the Endangered Species Act, the Federal Stormwater Program, and the Federal Weapons Program.

The Endangered Species Act is over 30 years old and is not working. Despite its dismal track record, the ESA continues to dictate how private property may be used. Improvements to the act are long past due. Because 90 percent of all listed species are located on private lands, there must be a renewed effort to find cooperative incentive-based solutions if real progress is to be made.

First, the implementation of Section 7 consultation requirements must be streamlined. Second, critical habitat guidance must be developed to ensure that an open and consistent destination process is followed. And, third, opportunities for voluntary conservation efforts must be increased.

The second program I would like to highlight is the MGS Stormwater Program. The current stormwater regulations are complex, confusing, costly, and oftentimes duplicative in efforts of state and local governments. Furthermore, the lack of compliance assistance and the agency's overbearing focus on punitive enforcement reduces the program's legitimacy and, thereby, its effectiveness.

The following suggestions could improve water quality while facilitating compliance. First, the permitting process must be streamlined and simplified by eliminating duplicative permit requirements. Second, EPA and the state should collaborate to develop consistent

enforcement policies that focus on environmental protection. And, third, watershed partnership programs should be developed to facilitate innovative and improved long-term compliance. Clearly, stormwater regulations that are well-coordinated, simple, and fair will encourage compliance and, in the end, do more to protect rivers and streams than the current system.

Finally, I would like to touch on the opportunity

9 presented by the Clean Water Act, Section 404 Wetlands 10 Program. The wetlands permitting process is lengthy, 11 difficult, and continues to be filled with confusion and 12 uncertainty. At a minimum, administrative guidance must be 13 issued to clarify which waters and wetlands are subject to 14 federal jurisdiction. The program must also be reformed to 15 streamline the permitting process and provide incentives 16 for protecting wetlands. 17 Thank you for the opportunity to comment, and I 18 am hopeful that the Administration can move quickly to 19 solve these ongoing challenges. 20 MR. MARSHALL: Thank you. 21 No. 3? 22 MR. LOPEZ: My name is Hector Lopez. I am 23 currently the president of the Homebuilders Association of 24 Georgia and I am also a builder from Savannah, Georgia. 25 Thank you for coming to the coast. 0032 1 Good environmental laws that provide 2 opportunities for market-based solutions are an important 3 starting point to any cooperative conservation. 4 Unfortunately, today's laws are outdated and do not protect 5 the environment in the most efficient and effective way, 6 thus, are rarely amenable for collaborative solutions. 7 To facilitate collaborative solutions it is 8 imperative that the affected parties participate and have 9 buy-in before proposed rules and regulations are brought to 10 the public hearing. There are many benefits that can be 11 utilized by the practical experience of the builder-and-12 developer community. Once the rules and regulations are 13 out for public hearing, there is a perception that it's a 14 done deal, especially with the Army Corps of Engineers. 15 The need for checks and balances within the 16 Corps, for example, the release of 22 billion gallons of 17 water into the Chattahoochee River this spring because of a miscalculated gauge. Also, the necessity for addressing 18 the definition of navigable waters and the impact that it 19 20 has on private landowners. 21 There is always a need to minimize paperwork, 22 especially from the standpoint of stormwater. Water 23 availability is a growing concern here in Georgia and, I'm 24 sure nationally. And it's not about the difference between 0033 1 humans and sturgeons or humans and dollars; it's just 2 ensuring that we have water for everyone. 3 Thank you for your time. 4 MR. MARSHALL: Thank you. 5 No. 4? 6 MR. NEWMAN: I'm Tyler Newman. I'm with the 7 Homebuilders Association of Georgia. Because many of 8 today's laws are outdated and do not protect the 9 environment in the most efficient or effective way, I am 10 hopeful that this push for cooperative conservation will 11 encourage collaborative solutions, facilitate compliance, 12 and protect property rights while improving the 13 environmental results that everyone wants to enjoy.

I would like to touch on the collaborative

14

opportunities presented by the Clean Water Act, Section 404 Wetlands Program. The wetlands permitting process is lengthy and difficult and continues to be filled with confusion and uncertainty. First, to elicit better cooperation, administrative quidance must be issued to clarify which waters and wetlands are subject to federal jurisdiction. The determination of which waters are 2.2 subject to federal authority currently depends on where in the country the property lies. That makes little sense, considering this is a national program. 

1 2

But for the nation's landowners, the regulators themselves need clear direction as to which waters and wetlands are in and which are out. Administrative guidance developed jointly with stakeholders will reduce the inconsistency and confusion currently experienced and help to foster collaborative efforts where private landowners and agencies can work together for wetlands protection.

Second, the wetlands permitting process must be streamlined and incentives provided for those who take steps to protect wetlands. The current regulatory program is cumbersome and lengthy, even for projects that have minimal impacts on the environment. By refocusing efforts on projects that are likely to have the greatest impacts, the agencies can free up time to develop incentives or other mechanisms to improve wetlands protection.

Finally, the various levels of government must work in concert with private landowners to create, enhance, and protect wetlands where appropriate. In Ohio homebuilders have been working with the state for over 10 years to create and improve wetlands for the enjoyment at all. Similar programs could be established across the nation to help restore and protect these valuable resources.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. By incorporating these suggestions into your final actions, I

am certain that the Administration will improve stewardship and enhance wetland protection across the nation.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

Okay. No. 5?

MS. THOMPSON: Good afternoon. My name is Lydia Thompson. I am from St. Simons Island, Georgia, but I also consider Jekyll my backyard. I am with Coastal Georgia Audubon. I would like to first say what a great job our hardworking staffs of the Ecological Services Office here in Brunswick and Savannah National Wildlife Refuge are doing. And I see Okefenokee here, too. We have an impressive group of people working very hard.

I would like to say that the Endangered Species
Act is working. It is a balancer between the sensitive
resources and our developing coast. It helps to keep what
we love here. Please leave it alone, and especially
Section 7. The Ecological Services Office needs to stay on
the coast and be staffed. There is a tremendous explosion
of development on the coast. Our sensitive resources, the
wetlands, endangered species, are protected under good

21 laws, and we need high-quality workers of the Ecological 22 Services to help. 23 Thank you so very much for coming. 24

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

No. 6? Okay. No. 6? No. 7? No. 8?

25 0036 1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

0037 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

What number did you have, ma'am?

MS. BAMBACH: Eight, sir.

MR. MARSHALL: Eight. Thank you.

MS. BAMBACH: Six is my husband and he's not speaking.

MR. MARSHALL: Okay.

MS. BAMBACH: Good afternoon. My name is Dorothy Bambach. I am president of Ogeechee Audubon Society in Savannah, Georgia. I thought it was time for a little change of pace. Our 700 members have for many years now been engaged in activities that you would term cooperative education, cleaning up our waterways and beaches, assisting scientists with research projects, conducting educational programs for the public, and promoting nature-based tourism to provide local communities with an economic incentive to protect sensitive areas and species.

We have had consistent support in these efforts from a handful of businesses and private landowners, but our projects would benefit from much wider participation from the private and the public sectors. Our activities are very well-publicized. We exclude no one from them. And I would invite all of the members of the Homebuilders Association to please come to one of our meetings. are held monthly in Savannah. They are in the paper. We would love to see you there. And that's the best way to

get a dialogue going. And I would be happy to come to your meetings if I were to receive an invitation.

But you have asked what the federal government can do to promote desirable conservation outcomes. In our opinion, there are four most critical things. First, keep and strengthen the Endangered Species Act; do not dilute it. Provide strong and consistent enforcement of this law. Be willing to put your jobs on the line to secure adequate funding for our national wildlife refuse system. And reduce our dependence on all oil, not just foreign oil, so that we can keep drilling out of the Arctic Refuge and Teshekpuk Lake.

Look, let's not kid ourselves. Cooperative conservation is no substitute for a strong Endangered Species Act any more than cooperative driving could replace our current system of traffic laws. Please don't sell us short. We have made great strides here right in Georgia with Red-cockaded Woodpecker, wood stork, loggerhead sea turtles, but there are many other species of concern that still face extinction and extinction from a non-natural cause. The ESA is their safety net and there is much work

22 to do.

23 Thank you for your attention and for visiting Coastal Georgia. I'll be happy to answer any questions. 2.4

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

No. 9? No. 10? If I could get through 15 to queue up along the aisle back here, I'd appreciate it.

MR. HATTEN: My name is Rick Hatten. I'm Chief of Forest Management of Georgia Forestry Commission, our state forestry agency. As earlier was mentioned, we have an awful lot of forest land in the state, 24 million acres. Most of it is in private hands, and our job is to help those people to manage their lands to the best advantage and benefit to the resource.

Most of the tools we use come through federal programs. Most of them are through the Department of Agriculture and Forest Service, but one specific program we deal with is the Partners For Fish and Wildlife under the Department of the Interior. It's a great program. We don't believe that it's funded high enough. We are making some accomplishments across the state on some private lands in regards of the longleaf and wiregrass restoration, and we believe we can accomplish even more with better funding to that program.

Annually I work with over 46 different agencies, conservation organizations, and landowner groups. We all have to work together to be able to deliver a good-quality

forestry program to the state, and we appreciate the programs that you use because many of those partners are more involved in the Department of Interior programs than

the Forestry Commission is directly. We appreciate any increases you can make in those programs for their benefits and be glad to answer any questions you may have. Thank you.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

Okay. No. 11? 12? No. 13? 14?

MR. PHILLIPS: My name is Bill Phillips. I am the Unitarian Universalist minister here in Coastal Georgia, and I am a stakeholder. I breathe this air. I drink the water here. I walk on the wonderful beaches, and I walk on the land of this whole county.

I would like to simply remind you all that a lot of stakeholders who breathe the air, drink the water, and walk on this land are not represented here today. If you look around, we are a completely 99 percent white group of people. We have little Hispanic representation, while we have thousands of Hispanics who live in this county. We have no African-American representation.

I promised myself I wasn't going to speak at this meeting. I came to listen and learn. All I really want to say is I would like to remind you all that you represent the people who are not here. You must protect our air, our water, or it will not happen. You must protect our wetlands and our habitat, or it will not happen. Please take responsibility and do your job to protect all the

people so that we have cleaner air, cleaner water, and
ground that is not contaminated. Thank you very much for

3 coming. MR. MARSHALL: Thank you. 5 6 MR. WINN: Director Hall, Admiral Lautenbacher, 7 thank you very much for coming to Georgia. We are humbled 8 to have you in this town. My name is Brad Winn. I am the 9 lead for the Non-Game Wildlife Program on the coast. And 10 we are on a daily basis working with both Fish and Wildlife 11 Service staffs, both locally, regionally, and nationally; 12 and, also, with NOAA Fisheries on issues of conservation on 13 our coast. I hope both of you can spend a little bit of 14 time here and look at what we have. It's really an 15 extraordinary part of the Atlantic Coast and we are quite 16 delighted, our staffs are delighted to be working here. 17 If wanted to say that we do not have any state-18 appropriated funding for our program. We depend on a 19 license plate. We have two license plates out right now. 20 And we depend on fundraising and depend on very minor 21 checkoff on our tax forms, as well, and donations to our 22 program. Our ability to fulfill our goals and our mandated 23 estate is dependent on our interaction both as partners 24 with the Fish and Wildlife Service and NOAA Fisheries, but 0041 also with funding particularly to Section 6 of the 1 2. Endangered Species Act. 3 We are working very hard to maintain populations 4 of right whales on our coast, -- we have the only known 5 calving grounds for right whales here -- to maintain our 6 manatee populations. We have a very extensive program to 7 maintain and restore nesting sea turtles on this coast. 8 And all of those, plus more with wood storks and all the 9 other endangered species, and with a new listing of red 10 knots, provide us with not only staff time but ability on-11 the-ground to literally protect the nests of these turtles, 12 on-the-ground research work with right whales and other 13 species. 14 So if you have the capabilities to maintain and 15 strengthen the Section 6 funding for states, we really do 16 depend on it. And I want to thank both of you very much 17 for the efforts and really to be able to work with both 18 staffs of NOAA Fisheries and Fish and Wildlife Service has 19 been a real pleasure. Thank you. MR. MARSHALL: Thank you. 20 21 Okay. No. 16? No. 17? 22 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: I'll send in written 2.3 comments. 24 MR. MARSHALL: Okay. Thank you. 25 No. 18? 0042 1 MR. ADAMS: Good afternoon, Director Hall, 2 Admiral Lautenbacher. My name is Stephen Adams. I am the 3 Regional Supervisor for the Law Enforcement Section of the 4 Wildlife Resources Division. I am currently here on the 5 coast. Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you and the audience on the conservation cooperation between the 7 Georgia Department of Natural Resources, NOAA, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. And what I would like to do is

just take a minute of your time and outline what I feel like is a success story with cooperative conservation.

As both of you may know, the state of Georgia has a hundred miles of shoreline to the Atlantic Ocean which is contiguous to the exclusive economic zone in federal waters. Many species of marine life are found both in state and federal waters.

In 1992 the State of Georgia entered into a cooperative enforcement agreement with the National Marine Fisheries Service at NOAA. This agreement allowed state officers to enforce federal fisheries regulations relative to the Magnuson-Stevens Fisheries Conservation Management Act, the Marine Mammal Protection Act, Endangered Species Act, and the National Marine Sanctuaries Act. That agreement was updated and amended in 1996 and again in 1998.

Currently Georgia provides law-enforcement support for the following fishery management plans: red drum, sea trout, wheat fish, croker, spot, dolphin, wahoo, horseshoe crabs, and as well as other fish. Georgia also provides law-enforcement support for gear compliance checks, inspections for the turtle excluder devices, and for bi-catch reduction devices in the trawler fleet that operates in both state and federal waters.

One of the main success stories in a 1999 Georgia entered into a joint enforcement agreement. We are currently working under JEA 5 and just completed JEA 4 at the end of 2006. It's a mutually beneficial partnership that allows the State of Georgia to purchase, maintain, and operate a fleet of vessels and to maintain these for enforcement work that would not be possible with State funding alone.

Georgia conservation rangers have participated in enforcement of recreational fishing limits, commercial fishing limits, gear and location restrictions in the commercial and the recreational fleet.

Commercial fishery enforcement is also a priority to JEA, and officers have made significant cases as a result of this joint enforcement agreement. In JEA 4 Georgia was asked by the NOAA Office of Protected Species to provide directed patrols for the protection of the

northern right whale. In JEA 4 officers with the Georgia Department of Natural Resources logged over 70 vessel hours dedicated to the conservation and protection of the right whale.

This level of enforcement would not be possible without the joint enforcement agreement and assistance from NOAA. Because of this agreement and the cooperation between the state and federal partners, the right whale will continue to have protection through JEA 5 and beyond.

And I would just like to take this opportunity to say thanks from the law-enforcement section to both of your agencies for your support.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

No. 19? 20? 21?

MR. MARANE: My name is Russ Marane. I am with The Trust for Public Land and I'm here to speak about the program that the Trust for Public Land and our conservation partners, The Nature Conservancy and The Georgia Land Trust, is undertaking at Fort Stewart. Our mission there is to create a compatible-use buffer surrounding Fort Stewart by identifying and target lands with conservation value for conservation easements in order for Fort Stewart to be able to maintain its training mission viability.

2.2

1 2

This partnership with Fort Stewart grew out of a Greenprint plan prepared by The Trust for Public Land and

The Nature Conservancy which identified specific parcels surrounding the base which had conservation values because of their characteristics, including sensitive river corridors, particularly the Canoochee and Ogeechee Rivers, timber-producing lands, natural forests, lands that had unique habitat that could support those endangered species which reside on the base, including the Red-cockaded Woodpecker; everybody's favorite, the gopher turtle; the indigo snake, and other species.

To date this partnership has protected over 400 acres with conservation easements in two transactions which closed in late 2005 and just several weeks ago. Four transactions which will protect 3000 acres with conservation easements are scheduled to close at the end of -- by the end of this year.

I'm going to speed up here. Funding for this program so far has exceeded \$6 million through the Department of Defense funding to the U.S. Army for the ACUP (phonetic) program at Fort Stewart. And we are grateful for the Army's longstanding and continued commitment to proactive conservation, as well as the funding that has been available for military buffers since passage of the Bob Stump National Defense Act of 2003. With the continuing support of these programs, we can continue our

program to preserve land around Fort Stewart through the ACUP program.

Our challenge going forward is to bring the other federal agencies with similar interests and missions into this program to ensure the long-term viability of this very important military installation. The time is now to protect these lands. With the rapidly growing and developing coast of Georgia, we don't have much time, particularly in the eastern portions of this base, and we need all of the cooperation of the federal and state agencies that we can get. Thank you.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

All right. No. 22?

MR. CAMPBELL: My name is Chip Campbell. I'm with Okefenokee Adventures. My wife and I, we own the Visitors Services concession contract at the Okefenokee National Wildlife Refuge and at Banks Lake National Wildlife Refuge.

I have had the opportunity twice to testify before the House Resources Commission on legislation to

bring the Fish and Wildlife Services concession contracting program in line with that of the National Parks Service, specifically in regard to what is done with the percentage, the royalty that we pay in exchange for the privilege of operating on the national wildlife refuge.

2.

1 2

2.2

I support the concept of returning those resources to the facilities where they are generated for their public-use programs or for whatever other programs deemed suitable, but especially with the public-use program. Currently it goes into the general fund and then to the revenue sharing program. Compared to the amount of money that's in that program with timber sales and oil and gas extraction royalties, the amount that goes in from concession contracts is a very negligible amount of money, but it sure could be used on site. And so I hope that the third time will be a charm and we can revisit that in the future.

I want to echo the comments made by several people here about supporting and fully funding programs for private landowner incentives. I especially would like to see the Federal Land and Water Conservation Fund fully funded. My understanding is there is \$900 million a year taken in on that program which involves surtaxes on offshore oil and gas leases. But that money doesn't tend to make it back out again in terms of appropriations, as I understand it, and I think it would be only appropriate if that changes.

Finally, as to how the federal government can work with states, perhaps other partners, on science, the mood now, since obviously the funding is not available even

at this time to really do the science at the service level that it needs to be done, we need to make sure that there are criteria established for the sharing of data between these different partners instead of letting differences in methodology collecting, you know, sample collecting, that kind of thing, actually stymie the sharing of the data between agencies.

Thanks or the opportunity to speak with y'all. MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

10 Okay. No. 23? Are you no. 23, sir? Okay. No. 11 24? No. 25?

MR. VARN: My name is Will Varn. I reside on St. Simons. I'm here representing the Forest Landowners Association, and I've got five points that I'd like to touch on on their behalf.

Federal death tax. The Administration has supported the repeal and, more recently, the reform of the death tax. Forest landowners are thankful for and

indebtedness to this Administration for that support. We respectfully request that the Administration focus on this modification of existing tax law, actively supporting the efforts of congressional leadership in reaching the modification.

24 Endangered Species Act. Again, we commend the

Administration as having effectively supported the 0049

2.

necessary changes to the Endangered Species Act and its regulations that forest landowners seek. Forest landowners want to recover at-risk species of plants and animals but are currently stymied by an onerous collection of regulations that the authors never intended. We ask that the Administration effectively support the repair of the ESA so that landowners are given incentives to make their land available to species recovery so that all landowners actually want to find endangered and threatened species on their property, and that landowners are allowed to be the conservationists, ecologists, and good Americans that we are by working in a realistic fashion to conserve native habitat, flora, and fauna.

Appropriations. The fiscal year 2006 budget blueprint proposed by the Administration left little or no room for improvement over fiscal year 2005 expenditures. Forest landowners recommend that funding of the McIntire-Stennis Cooperative Forestry Research Program and the Renewable Resources Extension Act retain a hundred percent formula funding, that each program's funding increase by at least 10 percent over fiscal year 2006 levels.

The Canadian softwood lumber trade dispute. Forest landowners recommended that fees and duties accumulated during the recently resolved dispute with the Canadian government over trade laws be distributed

equitably to landowners and others found injured as determined correct and proper by the Meritorious Initiatives Board from funds to representative injured parties.

Woody biomass. Forest landowners are, as anyone would expect, highly interested in the production of alternative energy feed stocks from trees, and as segments of the forest products industry infrastructure trends offshore, new markets can answer the questions raised about whether forest landowners will sell trees or sell real estate. So it is highly recommended that "woody biomass" be defined in statute and in regulation as wood and not simply wood residues. We would like to see wood as an equal partner in all cellulostic feed stocks. Thank you.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

Okay. No. 26?

MR. HARRIS: I'm Jonny Harris. I represent Georgia cattlemen and farmers. Myself, I own a farm that goes back to 1860 in my family. We have and want to encourage you to continue supporting the NRCS, their field people. We work very closely with them. We have some projects that have done an excellent job of maintaining the environment with water usage. We have put up nearly 404 miles of fence in the last two years to keep cattle out of streams. And this is at a voluntary type thing, but we're

getting help. We urge you to keep that funding going to help cattlemen, help farmers.

Our farm operation includes all aspects of it.

We grow crop, cattle, and forage. So we encompass a lot of these things, and we have a lot of good help from our NRCS. 5 We just learned yesterday that some of the funding for your strip-till, unless it's on vegetable growth, is going to be 8 reduced by \$10 an acre. We would urge you to refocus on 9 that and maybe re-fund that back to the level it was 10 because it has done a good job, you know, getting a lot of 11 strip-till conservation tilling started, but it isn't 12 complete yet. We have spent a lot of money buying new 13 equipment so we could do this. But the land is in a lot 14 better shape than it was in 1860.

Erosion. We have put grass waterways and undisturbed land, put tree buffers around these fields to stop that growth. As a matter of fact, an NRCS representative was at my farm yesterday and that's what we were mapping out some places on some land that we had just gotten back in from another branch of our family that brought it back in. And it had gulleys on them. So we are doing some good work, and you are doing some good work.

We just urge you, you know, to keep us there on that, on some of the dust and, particularly, erosion. I can't understand -- you know, it's been so dry in our area,

a cricket makes dust when he walks. So how are you going to stop a cow from making some dust at times? But we do have places where we wet down cattle pens when we can. We do those things already. So we are working in that. But, you know, we try to take care of them. My job is to leave that land in better shape than I got it.

And I thank y'all for listening to me. Take it to heart and keep it going.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

Okay. No. 27?

6

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0052

1 2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

0053 1

2

3 4

5

7

MR. STRICKLAND: Jim Strickland. I represent Georgia Cattlemen's Organization, about 5000 membership, family members, cattle producers, their wives and children, and also associate producers supporting our industry. And we are representing probably, we hope, one of the best and tastiest proteins that we provide for people.

And, first of all, in a general manner, we ask you to not regulate us out of business. We are afraid, if too many of these regulations come in, the real endangered species might be the farmers that furnish the protein and food for the world more so than any other country in the world.

In the cattle area our family is from Tattnall and Evans County, bordering Fort Stewart in both counties. Many of our friends and families had to move out in the

late '30s and '40s to give the land for our defense to protect our country. And we are glad to hear of the probability of programs that will include us in conservation measures. One of our farms borders Fort Stewart.

But we also live in an area where north of me they have built a prison on one farm, and south of me they have used two small farms to put in cemeteries. I don't

really know which direction I'm going. But I know we don't have the building you have in Glynn and Chatham Counties, but our land is being used.

So one quick point I would like to make about wildlife is the fact that there's a lot of diseases that are a part of that. And one of the things that we stress in the cattle industry is to not improve or increase the feeding of deer where they can be harvested right up with the feed.

In the states of Wisconsin -- I mean Minnesota and Michigan tuberculosis has had a great outbreak there and lost their free status in cattle because the deer population were fed and it spread to the cattle. And we think of these (unintelligible) diseases and we like to have the deer around for the hunting rights and the other things that we can do, but we feel like that this is a possible threat even to human life. If you have someone

that harvests the deer and field-dress it and it has tuberculosis, what closer contact can you have. And we have the same feeling there in the animal industry about the flu that might be transported on some of our migrating birds.

So we ask you to look at these and consult us, as we can definitely thank you for the support that you have given in having this Listening Session. I like to go where people listen.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

No. 28?

1 2

MR. COLLINS: Good afternoon. My name is Jim Collins. First of all, I would like to thank you for hosting this event and everyone that is here today. I'm a fifth-generation cattle producer. My family farm is in Alabama and Georgia, and I also have the privilege of working for the Georgia Cattlemen's Association as their executive.

A few things I would like to point out today and, again, point out that our industry is and continues to be one of the first conservationists and environmentalists because it's the nature of the beast of what we do. We have a business and our industry managers and owns more pasture land and more cropland -- total cropland in Georgia than any other ag industry, and that's an awesome

responsibility. It's in our best interest to be sure that that land is in the best health it can possibly be; and then, also, that directly affects our bottom line in terms of the health and the productivity of our land.

We represent roughly 21,000 operations in the state. And as Dr. Strickland alluded to, we are continuously faced with not only the normal economic challenges of ag production but the challenges that we face, whether that be on the regulatory end or trying to continue to adapt as urbanization continues to come into our area. And our primary focus is taking forage and ultimately turning that into nutritious lean beef.

Mr. Harris alluded to -- and I do want to again

publicly thank you on the record -- programs such as EQUIP, such as some of the partnerships that Georgia Cattlemen's work with, such as the Grazing Lands Conservation Coalition, where you have NRCS, cattle industry, dairy producers, The Nature Conservancy, all around one table trying to work to solutions. That's where we need to move. We need to move in the direction of how we can work 2.1 together proactively with private landowners to achieve results.

One issue is of concern, and one of the earlier speakers alluded to, and probably this is the single biggest concerning issue that has come to my attention is

the proposed discussion from EPA to regulate dust or coarse particulate matter. This issue is one that, again, there have been regs on the books for several years, but if you take that regulation and apply it to an agricultural use, the reality of what is done on individual days, not throughout the course of the year as it would be in an industrial setting, frankly, in many cases may, if not put our producers out of business but, for sure, put some of our investors.

Georgia is a cow/calf-producing state. We produce roughly half a million calves each year that are weaned and go west. Those calves go to our feeding enterprises, which are our partners. There has been some evidence if this particular regulation goes into place it may put anywhere from 35 to 80 percent of that feeding industry out of business. That has direct impact right here at home where, as cow/calf operators, we try to work hand in hand with the environmental industry.

So I just would ask you to please share with the Administration through the Department of Interior and the other areas this is a huge issue that has far-reaching implications. And, again, we appreciate you giving us the opportunity for input. Thanks.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

No. 29? 30? 31?

MR. PARSHLEY: I'm Daniel Parshley. I'm Project Manager for the Glynn Environmental Coalition. I also administer four EPA technical assistance grants for our superfund sites here locally.

I'd like to start with what works because that's always most important because it's already working. And I would like to give credit to our local Fish and Wildlife office, the Ecological Services Division, and NOAA. They have been extremely helpful in developing and can help us identify in developing conservation restoration plans, particularly in state and county-owned areas where we can undo some damage to the environment. And, Stan Caldwell, he should be given some credit. And we are also blessed with Greg Massey, who is also up nearer to you now. We have lost some good talent there.

But we identified where roads have gone in, cut off hydrology previously used by (unintelligible). We are going to restore the hydrology, put some underpasses in and

we're really cut down on killing. These are low-cost,
high-yield type projects. The Ecological Services Division
is not funded emphatically. The work that is done is not
being carried through because of lack of funding.

2.4

1 2

On the other hand, I would like to give you an example of something that is not working. EPA secrecy is a big problem in our community. We want to know about the

priority ranking for remedial action, and National Remedy Review Board reviews the Brunswick (unintelligible) site, orphan site, no responsible party.

When our Congressman asked for this information he said -- he said, just to quote their letter, we can't give it to you. So this is a huge problem. The secrecy, no accountability to our congressional representatives; and, also, it hinders on the local-level planning and any conservation plans. It's very destructive. Secrecy is the worst thing.

And, also, a problem we have had is the responsible parties that are the polluters for our superfund sites writing EPA documents, and we only found this out through the FOYA process.

But partnerships do work, and you can even have some divisions within, like the EPA, that do well, like the Environmental Justice Division, to identify our community as an EJ community. We have a lot of contaminated seafood. The information was very difficult to understand. And with the Health Department, Coastal Resources Division, and Environmental Protection Division, we did a seafood advisory brochure and helped move people out of contaminated areas.

But, again, the EPA Superfund Division said we should do some other project; it was terrible; it was bad.

So even within an agency we can have conflict. But these are what we are encountered with at the community level. Thank you.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

Okay. No. 32?

MS. SHEPPARD: Good afternoon and welcome to the Georgia Coast. I am Deborah Sheppard. I am the Executive Director of the Altamaha Riverkeeper Organization. We are charged with protecting -- we are charged with promoting the enforcement of laws and regulations to protect the environment throughout our watershed. It's a 14,000-square-mile watershed. And we recently embarked upon a new program called the Altamaha Coastkeeper to protect the coastal areas of Georgia.

We could bring any comments to you today, but the comment that I think is most important to share is that we are deeply concerned about the growing threat to the coastal region that is being brought about through the permitting of development in ecologically-sensitive areas throughout the coastal region.

As you know well from your work throughout the country, we are experiencing serious climatic changes and ecological events that make areas such as the low country

 $24\,$  of Coastal Georgia particularly prone to flooding. And the  $0060\,$ 

negative effects of that are both greatly significant ecologically and economically.

What we are finding in our work is that there are many circumstances in which development is proceeding in entirely inappropriate areas and it is being legally permitted to do so. The common-sense outcome of this is that when the water comes there will be no place for it to go, and it will result in both ecological damage and serious property damage and perhaps catastrophe.

We appreciate your attention to this. We have found the Corps of Engineers to be unresponsive in many cases when we bring hard evidence to them about areas that are, in fact, tidally influenced and unwilling to recognize the need to withhold permitting in some areas that just shouldn't be developed. So we ask that you pay attention to that. And thank you again for your presence today. Thank you.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

No. 33? 34? 35?

MS. McDONALD: My name is Cindy McDonald. I reside in Brunswick, Georgia, and today I speak on behalf of the Jekyll Island Foundation. The Jekyll Island Foundation is a public 501(c)(3) non-profit that was formed to support the Jekyll Island Authority in its overall

stewardship of Jekyll Island primarily in historic preservation, conservation, and education.

And I would like to share with you an example of what we believe to be a very successful conservation partnership to develop the Georgia Sea Turtle Center, a facility devoted to the preservation of sea turtles. It involves the public and private sector and state and federal agencies.

The primary federal agencies that are currently involved in this partnership are the U.S. EPA Brownsville Division, to whom we are very grateful for their commitment of \$200,000 in funding; and to NOAA for a \$50,000 Coastal Incentive Grant administered by Georgia DNR. We also receive direct and indirect support from Georgia DNR and are very appreciative of the strong working relationship that we have with them. Without your support and the support of the public and private sector, this facility, which we believe to be long overdue, would have been many more years in the making.

This conservation partnership provides many benefits. It addresses the currently state's lack of facility to provide short and long-term care for injured or ill sea turtles that arrive on our coast. It has provided funding for the renewal and cleanup of hazardous material

from an historic site that is located within the National Historic Landmark District on Jekyll Island.

It lays the groundwork for the restoration and adaptive reuse of the historic 1903 power plant on Jekyll Island, the future home of the Georgia Sea Turtle Center.

It provides an opportunity to provide the public with a very personal and intimate educational experience to learn about sea turtles, how to protect them, their environment, and the overall benefits of conservation.

It provides a facility for cooperative research and training between scientists, biologists, veterinarians, and educational facilities, to name a few. It offers a venue where year-round field-base study on sea turtles can be performed. The Georgia Sea Turtle Center represents the addition of a significant attraction for the coastal region and thereby provides a positive or will provide a positive economic benefit.

The Jekyll Island Foundation has raised \$2.2 million of the 2.6 needed to develop and outfit this facility from over 1400 unique sources in 46 states. We are scheduled to open in June 2007 and we would love to have you return to our coast for that ceremony.

The Jekyll Island Foundation believes that the Georgia Sea Turtle Center is an excellent example of the tremendous benefits that result from conservation and

environmental partnerships, and we certainly look forward to increasing that circle of partners on this project and any others to come. Thank you.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

No. 36? 37? 38? 38?

MR. KYLER: 39.

1 2

MR. MARSHALL: 39. Okay.

MR. KYLER: Good afternoon. Thanks for coming down to the coast. I'm David Kyler, Director of the Center for Sustainable Coasts, a non-profit organization serving Coastal Georgia. And I also happen to be president of Satilla Riverkeeper. And the Satilla Riverkeeper staff and Gordon Rogers asked me to bring to your attention that he is (unintelligible) because he had a scheduling conflict today.

I want to talk a little bit about using indicators, sustainability or environmental indicators, across as many federal program areas as possible in order to get better coordination and consistency in federal actions.

Currently actions by federal programs and stateissued permits administered by federal agencies under federal law are typically done with little or no data or analysis that reflects the combined efforts of the multiple permitted activities. This lack of analysis of cumulative

impact occurs within individual federal programs, but it is especially troublesome in evaluating decisions among numerous programs affecting natural resources, as well as consequential human health and economic prospects influenced by environmental quality and capacity.

These programmed activities include permits issued by the Corps of Engineers and the Clean Water Act and the Rivers and Harbors Act, permits issued by state agencies such as EPD and Coastal Resources in Georgia, and

our Federal Clean Water Act and Clean Air Act subject to EPA oversight, and administration of the Threatened and Endangered Species Act by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

2.4

In the absence of comprehensive tracking devices that account for these many individual permitted activities, decision-makers continue to issue permits on a case-by-case basis with inadequate attention given to the limitations of natural systems or the long-term implications of multiple-permitted activities.

Permitting decisions are made with little or no insight about the condition of potentially affected natural resources or the other demands being imposed on the same ecosystem by existing and/or proposed uses of land, water, and related resources.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Formulation and adoption of appropriate \\ performance indicators for environmental health and \\ \end{tabular}$ 

sustainability would be of major benefit in improving the consistency, comprehensiveness, and accountability of the federal programs meant to protect and enhance our nation's natural resources.

Furthermore, by including among these indicators measures of human health related to environmental conditions, our citizens would be better served by reducing adverse effects of their exposure to pollutants.

To be most effective these indicators should be developed by a multi-agency group that includes sufficient levels of authority and representation of those agencies to ensure commitment to their adoption and use across a wide range of programs.

Ideally to achieve the greatest comprehensive and consistency in federal policy, agencies involved should include not only EPA, Fish and Wildlife, National Parks Service, U.S. Forest Service, and so forth, Corps of Engineers, but also Coastal Management Program, even Economic Development --

 $\,$  MR. MARSHALL: I'll have to ask you to wrap it up real quick.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  KYLER: -- okay -- and Small Business Administration, among others.

The significant advantage in using these is it brings reliable information to decision makers and it would 

prevent such egregious examples of the deviant trend to make policies more political than information-based, such as defining "freshwater wetlands" to include (unintelligible) like farm ponds, detention ponds, and even golf course water hazards, while continuing to allow genuine functional wetlands to be destroyed by filling and draining.

Such perverse actions serve as an incentive for dismantling the very natural resource meant to be protected under Clean Water Act, while rewarding the creation of artificial landscapes, few if any have the same benefits and cause a number of adverse impacts, including flooding that has been described. Thank you.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

15 All right. No. 40?

MR. GANE: Good afternoon. My name is Brad Gane. I'm Assistant Director for Ecological Services with the Coastal Resource Division of Georgia Department of Natural Resources. Appreciate y'all coming to the Georgia Coast today and providing this opportunity to our community to provide comments to you.

My program helps to manage the coastal resources here in Coastal Georgia. To do so we partner with NOAA through the Coastal Management Program. Our participation

with NOAA and the CZM is what I consider to be, in my opinion, a true partnership.

It is voluntary on our part, on the part of the State of Georgia. And I believe that that's -- it's voluntary; it's not by federal mandate -- and both parties are in it for mutual benefit, that is, to further the federal interests and the state's interests in managing the coast and to balance resource utilization and economic development. I believe this is a correct model for federal government interaction with the states, and I'm glad for the federal government's technical and financial support.

We in Georgia are a latecomer to coastal management. We have been at it less than 10 years, where most of the other states have been doing for about 25. And based on this fact and recent experiences, I asked our federal partner at OCRM be enabled to balance their efforts to newer, bigger, and greater efforts with adequately maintaining the program's foundations mandated by law. This is important to all the CZM programs in the states and territories. It will be beneficial to meet the goals of CZM with a particular interest to our relatively new CZM program here in Georgia.

So we again thank you very much for coming to the community, your support of our programs, and giving us this opportunity to show our interest with you.

2.2

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

Okay. Let's take a real quick break. Let's make it about 10 minutes. It's 2:45 now. Let's be back in at five minutes till to begin again.

(Recess from 2:45 p.m. to 2:55 p.m.)

MR. MARSHALL: Okay. Let's go ahead and get started. We'll let folks filter back in. They have their coffee.

I wanted to recognize a few folks that have also come to listen today. You may have noticed there are various U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service folks, other folks from federal agencies that are here listening, as well. And we also have with us Mr. Ron Asbill with Congressman Jack Kingston's Office; Jerry Bounds with Senator Johnny Isakson's Office; Michelle Bart with Senator Bill Nelson's Office; Nick Norman with Senator Mel Martinez's Office; and Stephen Meeks with Senator Saxby Chambliss' Office.

Okay. With that, let's start off where we left off here, with No. 41. 42? 43? Everyone went home. 44? 45?

MS. BARNEYER: Hi. My name is Sarah Barneyer. I am from Savannah and I represent the Georgia Wildlife Federation. I appreciate the opportunity to speak with you on cooperative conservation.

The Georgia Wildlife Federation is the state's oldest and largest member-supported conservation organization. We represent more than 15,000 members. Of those members, 40,000 are recognized as hunters and anglers.

Cooperative conservation is important to protecting listed and potentially listed species and for managing all wildlife supported by a given habitat. This type of comprehensive wildlife management is the essence of the state wildlife grants appropriations which provide the implementation money for the State Wildlife Action Plan.

The Georgia Wildlife Federation has been intimately involved at all levels of developing Georgia's State Wildlife Action Plan. Developing this plan was a cooperative effort involving 14 public meetings with input from sportsmen's groups, conservation organizations, land managers, landowners, and public and private research groups.

For the first time in our nation's history we have selective comprehensive Wildlife Action Plans in place which provide for cooperative conservation between federal and state agencies and private landowners. We strongly support the inclusion of the references to the State Wildlife Action Plans in the Cooperative Conservation legislation.

2.0

We bring to you today a sincere request to join us in working towards fully funding the State Wildlife Grants Program. We believe that the current appropriation should be around \$90 million with substantial increases to cover the true comprehensive nature of these plans. We know that the Department of the Interior will be a lead advocate for increased funding to support implementation of these plans and advocate for a funding mechanism truly delegated for this purpose such as leases or other institutional sources such as the Federal Excise Tax.

Full funding of Georgia's State Wildlife Action Plan and State Wildlife Action Plans nationwide will support a dramatic move toward another great era in wildlife conservation by focusing on wildlife species and habitat before they become endangered. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

No. 46?

MR. MILLER: Hello. My name is Bill Miller. I am a professor at the University of Georgia Department of Marine Science. I am Director of the Marine Institute on Sapelo Island. I had the pleasure of meeting Vice Admiral Lautenbacher when he gave the keynote speech at a birthday at the University of Georgia last year. So hello again.

I had no intention of speaking today, but the nice lady gave me the card and I saw on the back that it said how can federal government improve science used in environmental protection and conservation. And I just wanted to go on the record to say that there are two items involved in this.

Number one, the science has to exist. So I would like to go on record to be a strong proponent for the preservation of basic research programs within the federal government, be they NOAA, EPA, NASA, NSF, whatever.

And the second bit is if that information does exist and the basic science is out there, it's absolutely critical that it be used in any kind of conservation decisions. To use it in conservation decisions, I think, is important to make sure that there is scientific representation on many of these cooperative organizations that are developing and quite nicely starting to collaborate, cooperate to push forward environmental agendas.

So, number one, keep the money there for basic -- new basic research. Number two, make sure you involve scientists in the conservation decision-making process. Thank you, and that's it.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

No. 47? 48?

2.0

MS. MOORER: Hi. My name is Hope Moorer. I'm Program Manager of Navigation Improvement Projects at the Georgia Ports Authority, and I thank you for this opportunity to speak to you.

The Authority owns and operates deepwater terminals here in Brunswick and in Savannah, which is now the fourth largest container terminal in the nation. I believe the projects at each of these ports represent ways that the port industry can function and grow in a manner that is beneficial to the environment through the cooperation and collaboration with your agencies.

In Savannah U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and

National Marine Fisheries Service, along with EPA, serve as cooperating agencies on the Savannah Harbor Expansion Project. Congress conditionally authorized the progress in 1999 to deepen the Savannah River Federal Navigation Channel up to 48 feet, dependent upon additional environmental studies and approval of TR2 EIS (phonetic). We believe that through the involvement of your agencies we will develop a project that will not only benefit the nation economically but will enhance the environmental conditions on the Savannah River.

Almost \$28 million has been spent to date on the studies for this project, representing the most comprehensive study in the Savannah River Estuary ever

undertaken. Staff members at local and regional levels of your agencies have been involved in the identification of the studies and the ongoing work associated with the project. The EIS will be submitted for public review in July of next year. Your department's Interior of Commerce will be asked to sign off on this project and the mitigation plan is put forward. The involvement of your agencies has been invaluable to get us to this point.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0075 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

We believe this is how such navigation projects should be done in the future. However, staff resources will need to be committed by your agencies for such cooperation to be able to be completed of these projects in a timely manner.

Another topic I would like to mention today is the protection of the endangered North Atlantic right whale. The port industry has been involved with NOAA for years in the efforts to save this species. However, with recent proposed rule-making a speed restriction of 10 knots has been selected without involvement of the ports. This speed is not safe for large vessel movement. I would request that NOAA work with the port industry to find a solution that will not only protect the species but will also be able to be implemented by the port industry.

Mr. Hall, I was glad to hear your comments earlier. It's not the port industry versus fish or versus 0074

whales; it's not the ports versus wetlands or habitat. nation survives and thrives through a combination of all different aspects of the economy, including the enhancement and enjoyment of the environment. Through collaboration we can achieve better projects for our future, for our nation.

Thank you for the opportunity and I look forward to working with both of your agencies.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

No. 49? 50? 51? 52? 53? 54? Who has the next number?

MR. KEFERL: 55. Thank you for having this meeting here in Brunswick. My name is Eugene Keferl. I am from Brunswick, Georgia, and I represent Coastal Georgia Audubon Society.

First of all, I do not believe that we need any more rules, regulations, and laws. However, I believe that the Endangered Species Act should be maintained as it is and not weakened. Any rule or regulation that regulates wetlands and fresh water should also be strengthened and not weakened. That's very important.

However, one of the things that we need is that we need the rules and regulations to be properly enforced. One of the problems of enforcement, I believe, is that there is not enough money to enforcement. The Department of the Interior and the Congress cuts the budget, and I do

not believe U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is adequately funded.

If you want to have a cooperative effort with the other agencies with lots of people, you need personnel. requires money for personnel. And I don't think there's enough money to adequately fund the people that protect our lands as it is and also the rules and regulations. We need financial incentives for those people who are willing and able to protect our valuable resources.

One of the things I have -- I have spent a great

deal of time working in wetlands and rivers throughout the Eastern United States, and many rules and regulations are not being followed. There is not enough done to protect wetlands and fresh water. Freshwater habitats represent only 2 percent of the entire world, 2 percent. And we all depend on fresh water. Not enough is being done to protect They are being destroyed faster. If you look at the endangered species, most of the endangered -- many of the endangered species are related to fresh water. They live in fresh water. We need to do that.

If you want to get the message out to people, then you need more personnel. I think that's one of the main things we need to do here is get more personnel getting the message to the people to protect the habitat. Every individual who lives in and on, around fresh water of

any type has a responsibility to protect that. And I believe that that can only be done by getting the message out to those people. Thank you very much.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

not sure which way to turn.

No. 56? 57? 58? 59? 60? 61? 62? And I know there is no 63, correct?

MR. HURLEY: Mr. Hall, Vice Admiral Lautenbacher, thanks very much for coming and listening to what we have to say today. I had the pleasure of listening to you on Earth Day at the Boyd Golf Series, Vice Admiral Lautenbacher and Mr. Gray talk on revamping and restructuring your NOAA organization. So thank you for your attendance and returning to Georgia.

My point today is we have heard a lot of people requesting more funding for issues related to environmental protection, potentially restoration, and preserving the sovereignty and the productivity of their natural systems. My request, conversely, is to approach it from another angle, and that is potentially decrease funding, decrease funding for incompatible programs that promote coastal development. And in this I am referring to the FEMA regulations.

FEMA currently is tacitly supporting, although it is somewhat regulated by increasing structural requirements for building. But as a taxpayer, which is my

representation today, just as a federal taxpayer, I would like to see FEMA restructured in such a manner that is equitable with the coastal populations.

These natural environments and the issues related to coastal development are the largest environmental issues in this area and I'm sure on many of the other coastal wetlands, plains, freshwater wetlands, tidal wetlands found within the United States. Currently it would seem that with FEMA in place and my federal tax dollars going towards the support of a system such as this with the full knowledge that environmental disturbances and catastrophic events are going to essentially use that tax money and at the same time promote environmental degradation, personally I consider that a double tax. As a taxpaying citizen, I am

I am concerned about the environment. I would very much like to see conditions made in which the environmental sovereignty and protection are maintained. But, at the same time, I don't particularly like having my tax dollars spent on FEMA programs supporting incompatible development within these sensitive systems. And I wish you would take that message back to Capitol Hill with you. Thank you for the opportunity.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you. Okay. 64? 65?

1 2

2.3

MS. SHIPMAN: Good afternoon. Thank you for being here. My name is Susan Shipman, and I am the Division Director of Coastal Resources Division of Georgia DNR. And I want to welcome you gentlemen and tell you what a privilege and an honor it is to have you here in Coastal Georgia. Welcome and thank you so much.

You have heard from many of my colleagues today with Georgia DNR of many of the successful partnerships and the value of those partnerships with both Fish and Wildlife Service and with NOAA Fisheries, as well as NOAA NOS. So I'm not going to be redundant and review those.

I, on the other hand, want to wear my hat as both the State Administrator who sits on the Regional District Management Council as well as a state representative and tell you how much we value the State Federal Marine Fisheries Management Partnership. Our Council just concluded our meeting yesterday. They have asked me to bring you their best regards and to share with you what we think are a couple of really good partnership stories, success stories we have going on in the South Atlantic.

One is marine protected areas. Yesterday or the day before, we took action. We are about ready to move forward the first amendment from a Regional Fishery Management Council that will establish a network of marine protected areas in the country, and it will go from North

Carolina down through -- at certain sites, of course, but a series, if you will, of marine protected areas from North Carolina to the Florida Keys.

This has been 16 years in the making. We started out with a top-down approach. That didn't work. And I think that speaks to the need and the value of partnerships, collaboration. This effort, which began about six years ago, has been a volume-up approach. It has been very successful. And while I am not here to tell you everyone is enamored with the areas and the restrictions that will be imposed if it is approved, certainly we got more volume than we have ever had before, and that just speaks to the value of those partnerships.

The second item that we have or the second real, I think, initiative we have going on is to embrace ecosystem management as our fishery ecosystem plan, and I believe we are the first council or out front of the other councils in terms of preparing an ecosystem plan, and that will be under the leadership of our Vice-Chairman, Duane Harris, who is here today. We have been working on that

21 for a couple of years. We certainly are engaging all of 22 the federal agencies, the state partners, and our advisory 23 panels in that process.

So I will leave you with that. I do want to endorse Dr. Miller's comments for the need for strong, 0800

24

25

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0081 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

sound science. Certainly stay the course and increase the sciences because that is the underpinning of ecosystem management for sure.

So thank you again. We are so glad to have you here, and I appreciate the opportunity to speak with you. MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

All right. No. 66? 67? Is anyone else planning to comment?

With that, let's go back to Mr. Hall and Admiral Lautenbacher and do some closing up.

MR. HALL: Well, thank you all, especially the ones that stayed. We really do appreciate it, and your comments today are very helpful.

You know, two or three things sort of came out that struck me. One of them is the partnerships are working, but there's always more pressure on the partnerships than there is people and money to get them done sometimes. I think that is something that -- don't take it the wrong way, but I hope it continues, even if we get more money. If we have more opportunities than we can still keep up with, that's kind of the way it ought to keep going.

But I can tell you when I started out 25 years ago that wasn't a problem. We didn't have the kind of cooperation and partnerships that we have now. Some of you

who have been around that long know that it wasn't that way. So I think that's really important. I think on the land is where things happen.

And when I think about natural resources and who has made conservation happen in this country, then you have to go to the private landowner, you have to go to the hunters and the anglers, and you have to go to people that were willing to step up and say, "Tax me." And, you know, I'll take you back to the '30s when it was the duck hunters that said, "Tax me; I'll pay for a duck stamp." And then

Pittman-Robertson and Wallboro (phonetic) came about and they said, "Tax me; I'm a hunter; I'll pay that tax."

And so you heard from some state folks talking about those funds. Those two pots of money, not the duck stamp, the Pittman-Robertson and Wallboro (phonetic), taxes on your equipment that you buy when you go hunting and fishing, together they now contributed in real dollars, not adjustable dollars, over \$10 billion dollars to the states to help with the fishing and hunting opportunities. new programs coming on are really helpful, too.

I don't know what the funding situation is going to be like. I know it's tough for all of us, but we will keep trying to fight for these things as you have helped identify them as priorities because that's what important 25 to us.
0082
1
2 every
3 you do
4 and he
5 it's n

2.4

So thank you very much for everything that you do every day, and there is a lot that you have told us that you do. And it really makes me feel good to sit in a room and hear things like that. And all the naysayers that say it's not working, you know, should have been here to hear the kinds of things that are. So thank you for what you do every day.

ADMIRAL LAUTENBACHER: Allow me to add my thanks to all of you who came out today, to the organizers, to the city for the hospitality in this area. Again, it's a gorgeous area and it's a delight to be here.

There were a number of themes that recurred throughout the session. It would be too difficult for me to go through all of them, but I do recognize the strong emphasis on the partnerships, the strong need to increase funding, and ensure that things are done in an incentive-based way, and that we do holistic kinds of things, ecosystem-based management; humans are important. And I thought it was a particularly good point to remember all the people who are not here that we represent and we try to help, certainly in my mind, as I have worked as a public servant for many years, as you know.

And the other thing that I want to end with, I guess, is what I have found is that if we talk and work together we can come to some kind of an agreement and  $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^$ 

consensus. We can make this work. And so it's an opportunity for all of us to hear other points of view today, to be able to do it in a civil and a professional way. And I encourage all of us -- I certainly want to encourage and to continue to aid and abet that kind of cooperation and look forward to working with all of you.

And I will say that if everybody that I know in Washington that works in this Administration, and I know I speak for Dale, we are passionate advocates for these programs and we look for ways to get funding; we look for ways to work with you; we look for ways to improve our living conditions and our economic and environmental status together.

So, again, thank you all for coming out this afternoon.

MR. MARSHALL: Thank you for coming. The 20th Cooperative Conservation Listening Session is adjourned. (Adjourned at 3:20 p.m.)

STATE OF GEORGIA

COUNTY OF GLYNN

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

| 4  | I, W. STEPHEN WALKER, CCR B-572, being a Certified Court          |
|----|---|
|    | Reporter in and for the State of Georgia at large, certify that   |
| 5  | the foregoing transcript of the Listening Session of September st |
| 6  | 21 , 2006, held in my presence, is a true, correct and complete   |
|    | transcription of said meeting.                                    |
| 7  |   |
|    | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee       |
| 8  | nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative    |
|    | nor an employee of such attorney or counsel, nor financially      |
| 9  | interested in the action.   |
| 10 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereby affix my hand and seal on this       |
|    | th  |
| 11 | the 16 day of October 2006.                                       |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 | (SEAL)  |
| 15 | W. STEPHEN WALKER, CCR B-572                                      |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
|    | Advanced Verbatim Reporting, Inc.                                 |
| 20 | 706 Union Street  |
|    | Brunswick,  |
| 21 | Georgia 31520   |
|    | (912)   |
| 22 | 264-1552  |
|    | Toll  |
| 23 | Free at 1   |
| 24 | -877-848-7957   |